

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/689,243	VARMA, SUBIR
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Daniel K Lam	2667

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Daniel K Lam. (3) _____.

(2) Mr. Anatoly S. Welser, attorney for the applicant. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 May 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

14

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The amended claim 14 incorrectly depends on the original claim 12 which has been cancelled. The amended claim 14 depends on claim 11 and is agreed by Mr Welser.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)